

# Twelfty

A Handbook  
on the Design and Use  
of Numbers, Weights  
and Measures

Wendy Krieger

13 October 2013

## **Abstract**

An Account on the design of a dozenal measurement system to the standard water system rule. A standard water system supposes the units of specific heat, specific gravity and gravity are set to unity. The resulting system becomes the barleycorn - obol - fecc system, the fecc is a small time unit, here  $1/34.56$  of a second. Electrical units are also covered.

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Notes on Number and Book Measures</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Pe Lost Art of Fractions . . . . .	1
1.2	Book-Measures . . . . .	1
1.2.1	Pe circle in pe Sky . . . . .	1
<b>2</b>	<b>Notes on Weights and Measures</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	On pe Names of Units . . . . .	2
2.1.1	Size Prefixes . . . . .	2
2.1.2	On Cubic acres, etc . . . . .	3
2.1.3	On Weight . . . . .	3
2.1.4	Capacity and Volume . . . . .	3
2.2	On Weights and Measures . . . . .	3
2.3	On Definitions . . . . .	4
2.3.1	Pe British Imperial Standards . . . . .	5
2.3.2	Survey Measures . . . . .	6
2.3.3	Pe Fundamental Constants . . . . .	7
2.3.4	On pe choice of constants . . . . .	7
2.3.5	Pe Spig . . . . .	8
2.4	Daltons and Moles . . . . .	8
2.5	Dimensional Analysis, etc . . . . .	9
2.5.1	Scales . . . . .	10
2.5.2	Interscalars . . . . .	11
2.5.3	Wallot-Stroud Peory . . . . .	11
2.5.4	Googol Systems . . . . .	11
2.5.5	Pe Choice of Units . . . . .	11
2.5.6	Pe Standard Water Systems . . . . .	12
2.6	Pe U.E.S. . . . .	13
<b>3</b>	<b>Corn-Obol-Fecc: A Dozenal System</b>	<b>15</b>
3.1	Pe Dozenal <i>Book</i> Units . . . . .	15
3.1.1	Pe Leo of gravity . . . . .	16
3.1.2	Pe Standard Water System . . . . .	16
3.1.3	Pe c.o.f. Lengp Unit . . . . .	17
3.1.4	Weights . . . . .	17
3.2	Lengp, Mass, Time . . . . .	17
3.2.1	Velocity . . . . .	17
3.2.2	Pressure . . . . .	18
3.3	Premm (temperature) . . . . .	18
3.4	Weights And Measures . . . . .	18
3.4.1	Lengp, Area . . . . .	19

3.4.2	Weight . . . . .	19
<b>A</b>	<b>Electricity with Six Base Units</b>	<b>21</b>
A.1	Electrostatics &c . . . . .	21
A.1.1	Charge, Field, Flux . . . . .	21
A.1.2	Dipoles and Moments . . . . .	22
A.1.3	Pe Linkage of Faraday and Ampere . . . . .	23
A.1.4	Pe Electromagnetic Velocity Constant . . . . .	23
A.2	Systems, Prefix and Suffix Rules . . . . .	23
A.2.1	Maxwell's Equations . . . . .	23
A.3	Pe Units of pe c.g.s, MKS and fpsec . . . . .	23

# Fore-word

# Chapter 1

## Notes on Number and Book Measures

### 1.1 The Lost Art of Fractions

### 1.2 Book-Measures

The group of book measures are those relatively easy to reproduce without access to a standard value. For example, one might be able to divide a circle into 120 parts, without access to some other work, but the construction of a Roman pound requires some sort of known chain of links to that pound, and weights at hand. A Roman pound might be read as 5040 troy grains, of which 15432.36 make the kilogram. Knowing this, one can reduce the Roman pound to grams, or multiples of 25 grams or what ever is at hand.

#### 1.2.1 The circle in the Sky

The proper way to imagine this system working, is to suppose one has a chart, whose width is the circle of the sky, and its height is angle away: rather like a world-map of the sky. The full width represents a year or a day.

The angles by hours is right ascension. The zenith in the sky, points to the current sidereal or star time, and so the circle is measured in the units of the day. The argument for metric time<sup>1</sup>

The circle by days is the zodiac, or elliptic. It is the path of the sun and the moon and the planets, since most of the orbits fall in the same plane. The sun moves in the sky slowly, but it takes a little longer for the earth-zenith to catch up. The tropical day is the alignment of earth-zenith and sun, is the **day** of 24 hours that regulates our activities.

The sun does not move at the same speed. In , it

---

<sup>1</sup>GrandadmiralPetry on the internet, argued that using the circle of 400 degrees, as metric proposes, makes for a division of the day into 40 demurs, each of 1000 hesits. Such a division would make the km/demur = m/hesit.

## Chapter 2

# Notes on Weights and Measures

The following represent a number of comments relating to measurement systems and the choice of unit names and measures.

### 2.1 On the Names of Units

Little is to be gained by inventing new names for units in historical ranges, especially when the proposed unit serves the same role. For example, a foot-like unit will be called a *foot*. Should one need to distinguish between the foot of the British Imperial **BI** and that of the dozenal **DD**, then one might give such measures.

Translators should not have a great deal of problem in oversetting feet and inches into fuand zolls, or peds and punces. Even the old english units, like *amber* and *sheppel* have no modern english reflex, are none the same seen as measures, and translated accordingly.

It does become of some concern when two units are used concurrently.

It is not my intent to invent separate names and prefixes for specific bases, when the normal for this is to use a generic set of prefixes over several bases, and let the local context decide the precise power being used.

For example, the word 'second', simply means the second repeat of a division, and its attendant unit. The common use is for divisions by sixty, but the B.A. of 1873 in setting the c.g.s. system had no problems defining the use of ordinals to define decimal divisions (eg tenþ-metre), nor did Stevins, whose decimal system amounts without the use of a radix, and in the exact manner that the sixty-deal is done.

#### 2.1.1 Size Prefixes

Prefix styles, made popular by the metric system, is not recommended for most units, since it is more the case that unit names get mangled. There are better ways to approach this matter. While it is the official style to have multi-syllabic names, in practice, these do not work.

For example, the expression *mill* comes to mean millimetre, millilitre, million, and mill of a currency unit. Likewise, variations on *kilo* comes to mean kilometre, kilogram, and kilometre per second, along with the thousands of 1000 and 1024 in number.

It should be recalled that the great profusion of names for electrical units, such as Ampere against Coulomb, is precisely to prevent the users from deleting the time unit as in *Coulomb per second*.

It further adds problems, when the unit with prefix happens to be used in a non-standard compound. The lumen, for example, is a metre-candle, on analogy with the foot-candle. But a centimetre-candle is not a centi-lumen, but a myria-lumen. This is because a metre-candle is the same as a lumen per square metre, and hence a centimetre-candle is a lumen per centimetre.

### 2.1.2 On Cubic acres, etc

One often sees comments when expressions like *cubic acres* and similar names appear in the press. It is more a reflection on the respondent's ignorance in that a cubic acre is not an acre cubed.

Units of the nature of linear, square, and cubic, refer to a measure of line, square, or cube, where the named unit occupies its appropriate extension. An acre is a square measure, and so a cubic acre is a cube, whose square faces have the area of an acre.

### 2.1.3 On Weight

While it may be true in the arts of mechanics and physics, that the commonly received notion of weight is separated into a distinct inertial component, and a distinct force component due to gravity, such distinctions are not observed in other arts, including the study of metrology.

In the art of metrology, weight refers to a measure which the physicists commonly call *mass*. While this is fully understood, it is not our intent to change the style of words to meet some ineptness of certain readers to understand that words have other meanings outside their refined modifications.

In the art of mathematics, weight has the meaning of an additional factor applied to repeat a point in a distribution in statistics, for example.

Weight properly means a measure (-t, -p) of something swung (weigh). Such swinging might occur on a beam balance of equal or unequal arms. In short, until piezoelectric scales took over, the normal for determining mass was by a torque balance over equal or unequal arms. The limitations do not come from gravity until the ninth place of decimal, since gravity comes on both the weights and the load.

It's only when the force of weight is measured directly, either piezoelectrically or against the stiffness of a spring, that the third-digit variation of gravity must be taken to account.

### 2.1.4 Capacity and Volume

A distinction is made between capacity and volume, in that the former is more exactly reproducible than the latter. Capacity is a bulk comparison, such as might be done by pouring a liquid into a graded vessel.

It is considerably more exact and easier to implement than geometric volume. In any case, even cylinders prove to be easier to produce and maintain, and more robust than rectangular prisms. Volume, measured by the ruler, is mainly used of stack-measure: the chord fire-wood, or the barrel bulk of stones, or the acre-foot of riverine water.

Not withstanding, it is still left to *define* a capacity standard from a volume, since the construction of the prototype standards is an expensive activity undertaken by able artisans, and the general use is exactly the same way as the usual standards. A litre jug might contain a solid decimetre, but its general use is identical to any other jug.

## 2.2 On Weights and Measures

The acts that define Weights and Measures, are intended to regulate domestic trade. The quantities formerly encountered in the market-place might be given like this. It is not the intent to define any measures for these here, but to simply list the sorts of regulated quantities.

**Length** There were formerly separate measures for itinerary, cadastral, builders and cloth lengths. Itinerary measures are distances of travel in miles. Cadastral measures are for laying out property boundaries. Modern attempts have been to merge these into a single scale, eg **metre**.

**Area** Outside square measure, the named units are those for the sale of land (cadastral measure). This might as much be a rectangle as a square, since the width and length of a furrow are disproportionate. Metric uses the **are**, but a unit of 1000 square metres is more appropriate to their comma system.

**Volume** Volume is very hard to implement, and is used mainly of stack measure (rocks, firewood), or where the building affords many right angles (storage). Water for irrigation is sold in acre-feet, suggesting it is not regarded precious enough less than the tonne. The metric unit here is the **stere**.

**Capacity** Capacity refers to comparison of volumes by bulk comparison, specifically, by pouring liquids into containers, and marking points (eg pint) on the side of the container, for a given weight. Measures in capacity are considered more precise than those of volume. The metric unit is the **litre**.

**Dry Capacity** Dry capacity refers to the bulk measure of pourable solids, such as grains, fruit, and coal. Dry capacity was eventually displaced by weight, on the availability of cheap, effective scales that could be used to measure freshly poured bushels of fruit, etc. The metric unit is the **hectolitre**.

**Avoirdupois Weight** Avoirdupois is not a scale of weight, but a class of weights, such as is measured in the market place by the fruiterer, the butcher, and others who sell goods by weight. The original avoirdupois weight referred to a pound of 6750 troy grains, rather than the later wool pound of 7000 troy grains. The Metric weight here is the **grave**, which became the kilogram.

**Troy Weight** Like avoirdupois, troy is kind of weighing of small precious goods on delicate balances, such as the apothecarian, the jeweler, and the mint-master might engage in. The need for most of this disappeared with newer technologies: pre-made tablets and non-bullion coins. The metric unit is the **gram**.

**Money** Until the time of the second world war, currency had an intrinsic bullion value, to the extent that a troy ounce was 5s 6d sterling, and a metric ounce of 25 grams, was \$ 1.00. The inflation from the currency reforms of King Offa, who introduced the sterling penny, to the Second World War, some 1100 years, makes only that the original sterling penny is nearly 3d in the final money. The Metric coin was intended to be 10 grams of silver, but economic realities overtook this.

In the metric system, the avoirdupois weights were replaced by the *systeme usuele*, because the people would not abide the new decimals. Correspondingly when that system was discontinued in 1840, the troy and avoirdupois had merged in notion, and the troy weights are extended upwards into an ungainly system of names: the myriagram, the quintal or centner, and the tonne or millier. To add to this, there were centners and milliers in use representing a hundred pounds, and a hundred pounds, half the proposed ratings.

One should remember that the decimal metric system acquired a great variety of attached units representing older units, or units more suited to natural use. A metric foot is 300 mm, is divided into 12 inches. A metric pound is 500 grams.

## 2.3 On Definitions

It is not in the scope of this text to track the most recent definitions of various units. These activities are the domain of artisans in metrology, and provide little understanding of the original scope. Further, even a list of the various legal definitions of the metre, from the quadrant of the earth's circle, to the light second, omits the non-legal, but significant side effects, and the picket of equities that fall in some higher scope, such as Clarke's 'true length' of the metre as 39.377 79 inches, based on his ellipsoid, such as would hark back to the quadrant on his ellipsoid.

The legal theory does not show significant non-legal branches in advance of legal accuracy. Angstrom's unit was intended to be a ten-billionth-metre, based on a miscalculated length of the Swedish copy of the metre. Likewise, the X-Unit, for X-Ray lengths, is an attempt to replicate a thirteen-billionth-metre, but likewise was not followed in the legal theory.

In fact, definitions and conversion factors are variations of the same equations. For example, an equation like 1 metre = 39.37 inches is a simple fact, rather like 'He read the book'. Putting rabbit-ear quotes into the equation turns it into a definition or other relation, rather like adding the word 'only' to the sentence adds different meanings to the different parts. The reading of the quotes might be 'this thing called "X" is Y'. It

1 metre = 39.37 inches	simple statement
1 metre = 39.37 “inches”	definition of US inch 1866-1959
1 metre = “39.37” inches	sets a conversion factors, as in table 2.1
1 metre “=” 39.37 inches	asserted equity between two predefined relations

certainly gets around the legal contortions of twisting the sentence such that the quantity being defined is at the lead.

It can be applied to mathematical formulae, such as  $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{E}Q$ , where although not the sole member on its side, the vector  $\mathbf{E}$  is actually being defined.

It should be remembered that where the original definition still needs to be used, such as the mean solar day of 86400 seconds, the more exact time derived from atomic clocks need to be kept in tune with the astronomical clock, with additional leap seconds being added here and there to keep the two clocks running on the same rate. A similar activity exists with survey feet, discussed in section 2.3.2. These differences do not have implications for general use, and the more current legal definition ought to be used.

### 2.3.1 The British Imperial Standards

The Imperial standards have been compared against the metric standards a number of times, many of which are used to determine conversions legal for trade. One will no doubt encounter different factors in different older books, and a number survive in geodetic measures.

My main contribution is to give these conversion factors handy two-letter symbols, so one might note exactly which foot-metre standard is in use.

Table 2.1: Metric to Imperial Conversion factors

Code	metre in inches	year	Notes
UV	39.370 790 000 0	39.370 79	1816 Kater
UCi	39.370 142 000 0	0.999 995 56 BI	1865 Indian geodesic foot.
UC	39.370 431 960 0	36×1.093 623 11	1866 Clarke
UCa	39.370 431 963 2	12/0.304 797 265 4	Australian geodesic foot
US	39.370 000 000 0	39.37	1895 Mendenhall Order
UB	39.370 113 184 7	36/0.914 399 2	1895 BIPM, Beniot , Brock
UK	39.370 147 198 7	36/0.914 398 41	1933 Seers and Jolly
	39.370 212 213 1	36/0.914 969	1959 Biggs and Anderton
UI	39.370 078 742 0	100/2.54	1959 International agreement
UL	39.370 232 589 4	c=983 574 900	Used of the fpsc

It should be remembered that the great variation here is not the inability of comparing standards, or that the standards themselves were changing, but the inability needed to produce the different temperatures. The 1911 edition of the Encyclopedia Britannica repeats this formulation<sup>1</sup> which can be traced back to a note against an official release of conversion factors. Apparently part of the process was to do the measurements at the same temperature, and reduce one by way of thermal expansion constants. The Enfield inch gives a conversion of 39.3835 inches to the metre.

One should encounter a number of various imperial gallons, as the legal rate of grains to the cubic inch have been changed. The present imperial gallon is a rounded version of this. The Imperial gallon is determined

<sup>1</sup>“It must always be remembered that a French metre of perfect legal exactitude will, by expanding from 32° to 62 °F., become equal to a greater number of inches when the two measures are put together; thus a brass metre is equal to 39.382 inches when compared with British measures at the same temperature, and this is its true commercial equivalent” Sir William Flinders Petrie, in Encyclopaedia Britannica, 9th edit, 1885.

Table 2.2: Metric to Imperial Conversion factors

Code	gram		in grains		year	Notes				
UV	15.432	348	74	15.432	348	74	1816	Kater		
US	15.432	246	389	8	7/0.453	592	427	7	1895	Mendenhall Order
UB	15.432	356	39		15.432	356	39		1883	Brock
UK	15.432	359	441	7	7/0.453	592	338		1933	Seers and Jolly
	15.432	357	910	6	7/0.453	592	383		1960	Biggs and Anderton
UI	15.432	358	352	9	1/0.064	798	91		1959	International agreement
UL	15.432	359	400	8	1/0.99/65.45344					Used of $\mu\text{e}$ $\mu\text{psc}$

by using a 10 lb brass weight, against water, at 62 °F. It is  $\mu\text{e}$  change of  $\mu\text{e}$  density determined in 1895 which causes  $\mu\text{is}$  change.

Table 2.3: Imperial and Wine Gallons in cubic Inches

Code	gallon in cu inches		year	Notes
<b>Imperial Gallons</b>				
G0	276.480	1 cu foot = 1000 oz	1820	Original proposal
G1	277.274	1 cu inch = 252.458 gr	1824	Adopted value IWMA
G2	277.420	1 cu inch = 252.325 gr	1895	BIPM comparisons (UB)
G3	277.419	4546.09 / 2.54 <sup>3</sup>	1980	Final metric ratios
<b>Wine Gallons</b>				
	224.000	Guildhall standard	1707	Prototype
	230.907	294 cylinder inches		Cylinder, 6 inches high, 7 inches diam.
US	231.000	rounded of 230.907	US	US gallon
G1w	230.997	0.8331 G1	1824	UK redefinition
G2w	231.119	0.8331 G2	1895	effect of G2

### 2.3.2 Survey Measures

$\mu\text{e}$  National surveys are intended to give a  $\mu\text{orough}$  map of  $\mu\text{e}$  country for cadastral and  $\mu\text{oper}$  purposes. It is a major exercise, and leaves many marks on  $\mu\text{e}$  metrology.

$\mu\text{e}$  first step is to set up geodetic markers.  $\mu\text{is}$  process is quite expensive, and usually ends up leaving a survey-stone with a neat little plate, and a number, from where one might determine its exact coordinate.  $\mu\text{ese}$  stones call on  $\mu\text{e}$  national ellipsoids, and determinate a coordinate of  $\mu\text{ousands}$  of miles, down to  $\mu\text{e}$  foot, (ie eight sticks of digit).

$\mu\text{e}$  sort of conversions appearing in table 2.1 can not be preserved as one changes  $\mu\text{e}$  latest conversion factor. Since it is very expensive to go around changing all of  $\mu\text{e}$  stones, one preserves  $\mu\text{e}$  measures used in  $\mu\text{e}$  ellipsoid for  $\mu\text{e}$  purposes of getting metres from feet.  $\mu\text{e}$  USA uses  $\mu\text{eir}$  1866 foot for  $\mu\text{is}$  end,  $\mu\text{oup}$  Africa uses  $\mu\text{e}$  UC foot, Australia uses  $\mu\text{e}$  UCa foot, and India uses  $\mu\text{e}$  UCi foot.

$\mu\text{e}$  second step is to use triangulation.  $\mu\text{is}$  is more error-prone, but considerably cheaper if used over a smaller range, and  $\mu\text{e}$  errors do not accumulate much.

One should note  $\mu\text{at}$  in areas  $\mu\text{at}$  have changed sovereignty after a cadastral tradition has been in use,  $\mu\text{e}$  older units of  $\mu\text{e}$  former owners are kept for converting old deeds. In  $\mu\text{e}$  USA,  $\mu\text{ere}$  are old Mexican vara and

labor in Texas and California. In South Africa, the old Cape Foot is kept for this end. In Canada, the French foot and arpent survive. These are not as exact as the national standards, just enough (four sticks), for laying out individual properties.

### 2.3.3 The Fundamental Constants

The table of fundamental constants have been gathered in a tabular form since the early 1920's, and have been getting exceedingly accurate enough over the years to consider defining the base units in terms of them. The current versions of the table are exact enough, for example, to show that the sum of a proton and an electron, exceeds the neutral hydrogen atom by a mass equal to its ionisation energy.

Most of the particles given can be represented by  $m/m_e$  and  $\mu_B m_e/\mu = e\hbar/2\mu$ , which might be called the mass and magneton-mass of the particle. The constants involving the mole can be reduced by creating a particle called a *Dalton*, with most of the same properties.

Outside of this, one is left with eight constants over seven dimensions, against which all of the residue are exactly connected to, by factors like  $2^a \pi^b \alpha^c$ . The first four constants belong to the six-dimensional theory needed to describe the bulk of electromagnetic systems.  $c$  arises as a general constant, and the balance are largely atomic in nature.

Table 2.4: Base Units of KU and KO

$\gamma, \beta$	KU, KO	(un)-rationalised electrical units.
$\epsilon$	electric constant	as in the gaussian, e.s.u.,
$\kappa$	e.m. linkage	$\epsilon\mu c^2 = \kappa^2$ , $Q = I\kappa t$
$c$	speed of light	sets $\mu = 1$ also.
$\alpha$	Fine Structure constant	inverse f.s. hundred of 137.036
$e$	electronic charge qtm	
$m_e$	mass of an electron	$m_e = Z_0 e^2 R_\infty / \alpha^3 c$
$k$	Boltzmann constant	$R = N_A k$

In any case, one notes that the CODATA system is just a different measurement system, where for example, that because the base is not exactly known, a measurement in centimetres might be more exact than the same measurement in metres.

Defining units in derivatives of this system is what the standards-artisans are currently recommending, but does this activity does not add any gain to understanding the workings of the system.

### 2.3.4 On the choice of constants

While one might choose to design a system where the speed of light and other natural constants are set to round values, such is not a good choice for the commercial systems.

In effect, natural constants are extremely hard to implement, and by the time one gets to doing this, an existing system would have been firmly established. Second, one can never assume that no mistake has been made in the theory or practice. And finally, one is essentially hitting a single point at a good distance with a single bullet. It just doesn't happen.

On the other hand, nothing prevents one from refining an existing value by giving an exact conversion between your system and something based on constants. Systems like KO and KU might be extremely difficult to implement, but they require no transmission of standards. Knowing the general theory, and the particular constructions, permits someone on Mars to produce Earth-like units, with no access to materials from Earth. This is the dream of using KU or KO.

In practice, an instrument made to regulate trade ought capture the sorts of quantity-equalities that occur in real life. One of these is the density of water, which is given to some list in the section on the spig. Table 2.5 lists such units.

### 2.3.5 Pe Spig

Water, for being a generally incompressible liquid, has been used to establish volume from weight and weight from volume. Volume measured by pouring is called *Capacity*, is generally regarded as being a more accurate way of determining volume.<sup>2</sup>

Table 2.5 gives various densities of water, some of which have had legal status, and others are just interesting relations, which sometimes creep up in proposals for measurement reforms.

Table 2.5: Definitions of pe Spig

grains / cu inch	grams/dm <sup>3</sup>	Description
250.000 000 000 000	988.568 025 364	250 grain/in <sup>3</sup>
251.999 879 452 198	996.476 092 888	66 prussian lbs (@ 466.771 gms) / ft <sup>3</sup> (139.13/443.296)
252.000 000 000 000	996.476 569 567	252 grain/in <sup>3</sup> .
252.057 613 168 724	996.704 387 713	1680 lb = 1 cu yard
252.081 345 570 296	996.798 232 086	60 french lb = 12.16-inch cube
252.101 429 857 562	996.877 650 823	198 gt/cyl in
252.108 991 618 422	996.907 552 083	TFPS water = 6125/6144 metric water
252.273 899 939 860	997.559 644 458	300 lb / 20.2666666-inch cube
252.276 386 920 435	997.569 478 656	TILF water = 0.997984 <sup>2</sup> metric water
252.290 609 521 828	997.625 650 950	Mohr cc, used in chemistry
252.324 994 593 036	997.763 726 631	100 lb = 10 UK gallon [G2 = 277.420 in <sup>3</sup> ]
252.457 934 874 658	998.287 368 666	100 lb = 10 UK gallon [G1 = 277.274 in <sup>3</sup> ]
252.499 487 274 449	998.451 678 149	2240 lb = 39.6 inch cube
252.525 252 525 525	998.553 056 974	100 lb = 12 US gallons
252.583 528 491 081	998.784 000 000	ILF water = 0.997984 metric water
252.626 893 796 659	998.955 478 214	100 lb = 12 gallon of 294 cyl in.
252.630 471 380 471	998.969 624 958	ISWS water: foot=7, pound=5.5, sec=15: 686/11 lb/ft <sup>3</sup>
252.631 578 947 368	998.974 004 578	Shuckburgh's water: 4800 gt = 19 cu in - 1919 rept.
252.651 025 526 335	999.050 901 643	Nines water = metric water / (15E9 G) G = 66.73E-12
252.704 281 068 689	999.261 488 548	60 french lb = 12.15-inch cube [Berriman]
252.720 000 000 000	999.323 645 480	A proposed equation from iwma.1821
252.732 155 002 407	999.371 709 667	49 lb / cylinder foot
252.745 862 798 450	999.425 914 022	0.216 grains / cu line, French measure
252.803 607 088 112	999.654 406 458	70 Paris lb / french cu foot
252.830 629 806 253	999.761 037 951	PA water using interim values. kg=18841, m=443.44
252.883 963 051 354	999.972 000 000	BIPM 1895 - Beniot. 0.999972 metric water
252.884 216 126 750	999.973 000 728	BIPM 1895: 1 kg/olitre, at 1000.027 cc
252.891 044 000 586	1 000.000 000 000	metric water = 1 kg/dm <sup>3</sup>
252.897 311 272 558	1 000.024 782 498	300 lb / 12.15-in cube [Berriman]
253.182 870 370 370	1 001.153 960 872	1000 oz = 1 cu foot
254.647 908 947 032	1 006.947 122 043	200 gt/cyl in

## 2.4 Daltons and Moles

Pe dalton represents a unit of inverse touch, and as such, pe mole is a number with pe scale of  $M$ . In use, one has access to tables of atomic and molecular weights, which are summed to make a weight in daltons. If

<sup>2</sup>Even Archimedes famously used water's ability to occupy rather complex density spaces to determine that a crown was not pe solid gold it was said to be.

a chemical has a weight of X daltons, and Y pounds of it are available, then the amount of chemical is X/Y pound-moles.

The rule of touch is  $(\text{weight}) \times (\text{touch}) = (\text{weight of metal})$ .

The rule of moles is  $(\text{weight}) \div (\text{daltons}) = (\text{amount of substance})$ .

In the atomic weight, the daltons become the weight of individual atoms, and the mole represents a number of atoms. Since it is atoms, not weight, that sets the proportion in equations, this gives the amount of chemical atoms.

In practice, it's similar to weighing screws and nails by the pound, when they are clearly each-goods.

The dalton has had several definitions, which affects the source tables, but if one sticks completely to the same definitions, no error creeps in.

Table 2.6: Table of Daltons

agu	Ag = 108	1820.663 885 9	International Coulomb	Ag = 107.8682
amu	$^{16}\text{O} = 16$	1822.319 148	Physical Mole to 1960	$^{16}\text{O} = 15.994 915$
awu	O = 16	1822.820 126	Chemical Mole to 1959	O = 16.999 4
uwu	$^{12}\text{C}$	1822.888 484	Unified Mass Unit	$^{12}\text{C} = 12.000 000 0$
	lb/1E27	497.939 775 2	CODATA 2011	umN = 273.159 756 E24
	kg/1E27	1097.769 292 7	CODATA 2011	umN = 602.214 179 E24

The international ampere is defined as placing x grams of Silver per day. This amounts to depositing 86400x coulombs per day. When silver is rated as 108 agu on the atomic scale, the ampere becomes 800x silver gram-ions per day, or 800 agF per day.  $x=0.001118$ , so  $800x=0.8944$  silver farads.

While the *M*-mole represents a unit of chemical substance, two different units derive by dividing mass into containing mass or into density.

A **spig-mole** is  $M\text{-mole} \div M/\rho$ , or  $\rho\text{-mole}$ . A kilogram-mole per litre can be written as a (kilogram/litre)-mole, and since the bracketed unit is a specific-gravity unit, a spig-mile.

$$1 \text{ spig-mole} = M \text{ chemical} \div D \text{ daltons} \div M \text{ (water-volume)}$$

A **touch-mole** is  $M\text{-mole} \div M$  or 1-mole. It works in the same way as touch in precious metals, but it counts chemical substance, rather than metals.

$$1 \text{ touch-mole} = M \text{ chemical} \div D \text{ daltons} \div M \text{ (total weight)}$$

## 2.5 Dimensional Analysis, etc

Dimensional analysis is a technique, which lies between units of a given system, and the quantity being measured. As with much of modern teaching, a good deal of the essence, including the truth, is distilled out as irrelevant.

The process is to create an open algebra, of the relation of a derived unit against base units. For this, one supposes a body of equations is to be understood, and that the relation between the numbers is to be satisfied (ie coherence). Because a measure like '5 feet' is not a number, but the measure of '5' has been distilled in numerical coherence, the equation must still satisfy an algebraic relation of units, like 'foot' and 'pound'.

K. F. Gauss wrote a paper with the title of 'length, mass, time', which proposes that much of mechanics can be reduced in exactly this way, and that even though something like 'force' would still be irreducible to numbers, it can none the less be expressed as a product of base units,  $F = LM/T^2$ . You could then maintain a list of quantities and their derived dimensions, such as pressure =  $M/LT^2$ .

One could then do things like check equations, or solve exponents, by way of trying to make the dimensions disappear. You can do fancy things, by looking at an equation  $H^a = gV^b$ , where  $H$  is the height, and  $V$  is the speed of walking, to find a dimensionally stable relation. You get  $L^a = LT^{-2}L^bT^{-b}$  by putting the dimensions of height and velocity from the list, and you get two equations:  $a = 1 + b$ ,  $0 = -2 - 2b$ , which gives  $a = 2$ ,  $b = 1$ , or  $H = V^2/g$ .

In general engineering, the body of equations is more extensive than that proposed by Gauss, to the extent that one supposes that alternate systems are in use, like 'foot-slug-second'. The units can be made to meet Gauss's demands when one supposes that common engineering practice might be a mixture of different systems.

Not everyone was buying his particular theory: Maxwell held that dimensions were an aide to conversions.

### 2.5.1 Scales

Engineers do not regard things like a pound of mass and a pound of force as coming from separate units. Instead, it is separate properties of mass, for which it is legitimate to use the same unit to describe it. An other unit of mass is such that might bring a force by the canonical rules, equal to a pound's force, such might have the dimensions of  $MT^2/L$ , usually written as  $FT^2/L$ .

The missing element is **scales**. Quantities have scales, and scales have dimensions. The body of equations by Gauss represents a series of normal or canonical scales, but other scales are let. When one brings in his extra scales, there might be several different scales mapping to the same quantity.

If one gives the scales different names, one could treat them as different quantities, so by way of example, a gee-force is a force with the dimensions of  $M$ , is perfectly coherent. A gee-mass is a mass with the dimensions of  $MT^2/L$ .

It's important to note that units with multiple scales exist in many systems,

Table 2.7: Named mechanical units

Quantity	FPS	in.lb.sec	C.G.S.	Mks	MTS
<b>Canonical Units</b>					
Length	foot	inch	c-metre	metre	metre
Mass	pound	pound	gram	k-gram	tonne
Force	poundal		dyne	newton	sthene
Energy			erg	joule	
Power				watt	
Pressure				pascal	pieze
<b>Gravitational Units</b>					
Force	pound	pound	gram (pond)	kilopond	
Mass	slug	slinch	glug	hyl, TME	
Energy	duty				
Pressure		psi		a.t.u = $\text{kg}/\text{cm}^2$	
Horsepower	550 fp/s	6600 ip/s		75 kg m/s	
<b>Units of Heat</b>					
Temp	$^{\circ}\text{F}$	$^{\circ}\text{C}$	$^{\circ}\text{C}$	$^{\circ}\text{C}$	
Heat	B.T.U.	lb-cal	calorie	calorie	permie
Power				frigorie	

A perm is 1000 lb 100  $^{\circ}\text{F}$

## 2.5.2 Interscalars

An interscalar is a dimensioned constant which converts from one scale of a quantity to another. For example, there is an interscalar which translates between force in poundals to force in pounds. It's the same thing that converts between newtons and kilograms.

When the interscalar is applied to a dimensionless group, it says that there is a relation such that  $g = 32.175 \text{ ft/s}^2$ .

## 2.5.3 Wallot-Stroud Theory

Wallot and Stroud were various professors who made useful comments about how to teach engineers deal with diversities of units such as to be met in the real world. In such an environment, one does not encounter nice coherent formulae, but units used because that's what the customers want.

The idea is that one adds extra interscalars which have units that cancel out what's missing. The interscalar is essentially an equality, but has a number because of the disparity of the required units. For most systems, this adds an extra burden of calculations, but it can be turned to a wondrous advantage.

An example might be  $P = \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{v}$ . An engine producing a tractive force of  $F$  tons force, and travelling at  $v$  miles per hour, produces how many horsepower. One way is to add an additional constant  $K$ , whose units are "hp / ton mph". The mathematics is then  $P = KFv$ , which a calculator handles, but you have to find  $K$ . This is a tailored equation.

For singular instances, one can convert units, so a ton is 2240 lb, a mph is 5280/3600 ft/s, and a horsepower is 550 ft lb/s. You then multiply  $k = 2240 \times 5280/3600 \div 550$  and multiply that by  $Fv$ . So you get  $k = 5.9733333 = 448/75$ .

The secret to making Wallot-Stroud work well in a system, is to make it so that the values of  $k$  do not generate onerous numbers. For example, suppose the numbers were  $2400 \times 7200/3600 \div 600$ . A lot of the numbers cancel out and you get 8 at the end.

In the TIOF, a twelfty-system which relies heavily on this, a ton is 5.00.00, a mph is 1, and the horsepower is 90.00, the product gives  $5.00.00 \times 1 \div 90.00$  which gives by mental calculations 6:80.

## 2.5.4 Googol Systems

An alternate way of providing lots of coherent units everywhere is to suppose that there is a number in the formulation of the units, so that instead of having just  $L, M, T$ , there's an additional unit  $N$ , which is entirely numeric.

It comes to its own when one supposes that  $L, M$ , and  $T$  might be written as powers of  $N$ , and the correct choice of units and exponent comes in the calculation for free. It's possible to select values for the powers, without giving sudden intersections, such that the total power is kept relatively small. Because we're putting a unit of the unit-series at  $10^{100}$ , it's called a googol-system.

A simple example might be to put  $T = B^{100}$ ,  $V = T^{10}$ , and  $D = V^{70}$ . It works wonderfully, complete with room for intermediate units for electricity. I have been using exactly this scale for nearly thirty years now, with little fault.

Using this data, an angstrom is  $1 \cdot 10^{-10} \cdot 10^{100} \cdot 10^{1000}$  or  $1 \cdot 10^{1090}$ . To make things easier to read, one writes 1 E 1090. A cubic angstrom of water contains then  $(1\text{E}1090)^3$  by the density of water: 1E 700 03, so it adds up to 1 E732 73, or  $1 \cdot 10^{-27} \text{ kg}$ . A dalton comes to  $1.6 \cdot 10^{-27} \text{ kg}$ , or 1.6E73273.

Some systems, like the KO and KU, rely heavily on this sort of technique, since the base of these is not even completely known.

## 2.5.5 The Choice of Units

It is possible to select any possible measure of length, mass and time, such as to form base units according to Gauss's theory. However, one might note the existence of some 'universals', and the desired outcome of the base units, which might lead to one over another.

The actual choice of Length, Mass, and Time, is that these were the most exact to implement, and were often the legal standards.

The inappropriateness of these as base units might be the facetious system furlong, firkin, fortnight.

In the absence of borrowed standards, one chooses a mass unit representing a volume of water.

Table 2.8 shows various metric systems as implemented for scientific work. While it is usual (since 1873), to give the base units in terms of length, mass, and time, one can see that the attempts were to get density to work, and after that, velocity. There is a very small selection of time units, but Moon did attempt a decisecond as a time unit.

The one system that implements all three is the metre-tonne-second, but the largeness of the units did not lead to a widespread uptake.

A **spig** is the specific gravity of water, taken as a unit. In metric it might be represented as a kilogram per litre, but one could use measures like 10 pounds per UK gallon to achieve the same effect. A **benz** is a velocity of one metre per second. For shorter periods of time, a shorter length arises. A **leo** is a unit of acceleration near gravity, eg 10 metres per second squared. Gravity is 0.980665 leos.

Table 2.8: Metric Systems

Length	Mass	Time	Density	Velocity	Comment
millimetre	milligram	second	spig	millibenz	Used by Gauss and Weber
centimetre	gram	second	spig	centibenz	B.A. of 1873, widely used
decimetre	kilogram	second	spig	decibenz	Proposed by Lord Kelvin.
decimetre	kilogram	decisecond	spig	benz	Proposed by Moon.
metre	gram	second	microspig	benz	Used by B.A. before C.G.S.
metre	kilogram	second	millispig	benz	Gradually adopted from industry
metre	tonne	second	spig	benz	Used in France from 1915 to 1947
dekametre	kilotonne	second	spig	dekabenz	Home to many ungrouped units.

The Dekametre-kilotonne-second system was never suggested in the past, but many of the practical units which have no home elsewhere, have a home here. The unit of pressure is near an atmosphere, giving both the ‘technical atmosphere’ or  $\text{kg/cm}^2$ , and the bar. The unit of acceleration *leo* is as close to gravity as the metric system permits. The tonne-nuclear, the energy required to raise a tonne of water by 1000 kelvins, is the same as to rise a kilo-tonne by 1 degree C, represents the tonne of nuclear explosions.

The leo is also the acceleration of the decimetre-kilogram-second system, which could be written in the style of leo-spig-benz. It is exactly in this sort of style that we shall construct our proposed system.

## 2.5.6 The Standard Water Systems

A standard water system uses some interscalars as coherent units, which makes all of the units dependent on the choice of the time unit. However, even the second is far too long for this purpose, and one must devise a shorter unit specifically for this activity.

Table 2.9 arranges a variety of possible S.W.S. systems, by a unit setting the scale of the system. Units nearer the top of the table have larger units than those nearer the bottom.

While it is probably not the case that one would implement systems to explicitly get the BI foot or the horsepower, these units are shown so that one can see that the produced mass or force is somewhat smaller than this, and to some extent calculate the size of the unit in question.

The first column in table 2.9 gives the length of the second in the system stated. In order to convert a measure to a given system, one must divide the system’s number by the source’s number, and then raise to the appropriate power.

For example, to work out the solar constant (8.389) in c.o.f., divide the c.o.f. value 34.56, by the solar constant value, 8.8389, and cube it (as per the following table), so  $(\frac{34.56}{8.838951})^3 = 59.774$ , which is close to the dozenal value of 50;

day	1	$T$	day divided into $86400T$
speed	1	$T^1g$	gives velocity unit
length	2	$T^2g$	gives indicated length unit
pressure	2	$T^2\rho g$	gives pressure atmosphere
solar	3	$T^3\rho g^5$	Heat from sun ( $\text{W}/\text{m}^2\text{K}$ )
mass	6	$T^6\rho g^3$	Units of mass
power	7	$T^7\rho g^5$	(Horse power)

The most probable start is to divide the day into some power of the base. For example, one might start with base 6, and divide the day to  $1 \cdot 6^8$ , or similar. One would calculate the unit of time by finding  $1 \cdot 6^8/86400$ , gives 19.44. One then calculates from this number, and its ratios compared to other entries, to find ratios.

## 2.6 The U.E.S.

The U.E.S. is a means around the mess of units that have been produced of the metric system, and various competing bodies of formulae. At present, one has the c.g.s. and the SI formulae, but former times there were other systems, like the e.s.u., the e.m.u., and the h.l.u. While one might suppose that the various systems currently specific to the c.g.s. are that system's problems only, one finds exactly the same set of units in any L.M.T. system, such as the ft.lb.s. or the m.g.s.

It is possible to reduce the bulk of the theory to six base units, by introducing constants into the c.g.s. and SI, so that these derive from a single theory. Much of the theory is defined in terms of the fpsc system, which is constructed more symmetric than either the SI or the c.g.s..

Table 2.9: Selection of an SWS Time unit

0.974 231 593	pressure	atmosphere	101325 Pa
0.990 285 312	length	dekametre	dm kt s
1.000 000 000	day	24·60 <sup>2</sup>	second
2.880 000 000	day	1·12 <sup>5</sup>	<b>DD second</b>
3.131 557 120	length	metre	metre-tonne
5.325 385 266	power	horsepower	746.7 W
5.672 217 252	length	<b>BI foot</b>	
5.760 000 000	day	2·12 <sup>5</sup>	Pendlebury <b>TGM</b>
7.277 150 807	mass	<b>BI stone</b>	14 pounds
8.839 510 000	solar	Solar constant	
9.806 650 000	speed	metre/second	
9.902 853 124	length	decimetre	mass = kilogram (Moon)
10.000 000 000	day	4·60 <sup>3</sup>	
11.297 509 478	mass	<b>BI pound</b>	
11.574 074 074	day	1·10 <sup>6</sup>	
15.000 000 000	day	6·60 <sup>3</sup>	foot=7, pound = 5.5
17.933 678 431	mass	BI ounce	
19.052 315 165	speed	knot	
19.440 000 000	day	1·6 <sup>8</sup>	<b>g-six</b>
19.649 136 944	length	<b>BI inch</b>	
20.000 000 000	day	1·120 <sup>3</sup>	<b>TIOF</b>
21.870 000 000	day	1·18 <sup>5</sup>	
21.936 851 288	speed	mile per hour	
31.315 571 207	length	centimetre	mass = gramm
32.174 048 556	speed	<b>foot/second</b>	
33.664 000 224	mass	10 BI grains	
34.033 303 513	length	BI barleycorn	= 1/3 inch
34.560 000 000	day	1·12 <sup>6</sup>	<b>C.O.F</b>
35.303 940 000	speed	km / hour	
49.411 994 874	mass	<b>BI grain</b>	

Table 2.10: Additional variables

Symbol	c.g.s.	SI	fpSC	Notes
electric constant	$\epsilon$	( $\epsilon$ )	$\epsilon_r$	Insert $\epsilon$ into c.g.s.
magnetic constant	$\mu$	( $\mu$ )	$\mu_r$	Insert $\mu$ into c.g.s.
linkage constant	$\kappa/(c)$	$\kappa$	$\kappa$	for Gaussian and HLU
radiant constant	$\gamma$	$4\pi\beta$	( $\gamma$ )	Make $4\pi$ disappear from SI
parallel constant	$\beta/4\pi$	$\beta$	( $\beta$ )	Makes $4\pi$ disappear from c.g.s.

Quantities in brackets already have units in the named system

## Chapter 3

# Corn-Obol-Fecc: A Dozenal System

A measurement system might be divided into *book* measures and *national* measures. A measure that might be derived by a competent observer from its description in a book is a book standard. National standards require one access some kind of measure whose value is known, say, a 2 lb weight.

For much of history, the book measures are number, time, and angle. Such are not normally listed in weights and measures, since their use is fairly consistent across a culture. In more recent times, time is more exactly defined outside the book value.

On the other hand, if one is proposing to forge a new culture, one needs to consider these measures. The dozenal system represents a new numerical culture.

### 3.1 The Dozenal *Book* Units

The dozenal numbers are a little out of the scope of this text, save to note that one might give dozenal powers different names to their decimal powers, and that Dozenal requires extra digits to complete a place. For this essay, we shall use  $V$  and  $E$  to represent these numbers. The radix, or dozenal point, is represented by a semicolon such as 1;6. Dozenal numbers will be expressed with the dozenal point. The letter D represents a dozenal expression, that  $1Dn$  is the same as  $1 \cdot 12^n$ .

The unit of *angle* is taken so that a unit circle is a unit, and that angle is a proportion of it. Likewise, a day is taken as a dozenally divided unit, to give a table like this.

Table 3.1: Dozenal Book Units

$1 \cdot 12^0$	<b>day</b>	<b>circle</b>	<b>the Earp</b>
$1 \cdot 12^{-1}$	hour	(sign)	
$1 \cdot 12^{-2}$		degree	
$1 \cdot 12^{-3}$	minute		
$1 \cdot 12^{-4}$		minute	n. mile
$1 \cdot 12^{-5}$	second		
$1 \cdot 12^{-6}$		second	n. shackle

Nautical mile is derived from minutes of angle calculations, but because a new angle system is being used, a new nautical measure is called for. Miles and shackles match minutes and seconds of arc. It should be noted that SI has not managed to kill off the nautical mile, or a nautical mile per hour. The Nautical mile is 1930 metres, the shackle 13.4 metres, or 44 BI feet.

In any case, the above system is the one that is derived for the dozenal system, in the absence of any external pressures.

The metric system derived their unit of length on a similar table, based on the circle being  $4 \cdot 10^4$  minutes, the earth's measure being a *kilometre*, divided into 1000 metres. Matching angle units were provided. Various suggestions for a metric time system, suppose the primary division of the day is likewise into 4, and hence decimally. In keeping with the more recent powers of 1000, one has a hesit of 1000 demurs, a day makes 40 hesits.

### 3.1.1 The Leo of gravity

Gravity connects mass and force of a weight. A pound of mass exerts a pound of force under gravity. The most common units of mechanics until the World War was to directly derive force from  $F = Mg$ . A whole series of derived units exist for many different measurement systems.

The Inch-Pound-Second system is used by NASA. The PSI unit of pressure is pretty common, and encountered even to this day.

In any case, one notes that loads on various structures are represented by the mass being lifted or supported, not by the force in poundals or newtons. So a crane jib might have a load rated in tons and cwt, or in pounds or kilograms, not in poundals or newtons.

The various systems one encounters like the foot-slug-second etc, are largely due to people who have been hooked by the school-lore, and are struggling to attach their teachings to the real world. In practice, these measures are alternate scales of force, mass, etc, rather than alternate systems.

The value of  $g = 9.80665 \text{ m/s}^2$  adopted as standard gravity, is simply the value at Paris. One might as easily adopt the conversion of '1 Newton = 102 grams force'  $g = 980.392 \text{ cm/s}^2$ . In any case, much of the tropics, the value is less than  $980 \text{ cm/s}^2$ .

### 3.1.2 The Standard Water System

A standard water system might be derived from setting the spig and leo to unity, and optimally the specific heat of water as well. As we saw in table 2.8, one might desire to set several different units to unity, but the resulting system is unwieldy. When one sets gravity and density to unity, then length and mass are derived from time. We can then calculate the time unit, needed to create a length, mass, or power unit equal to the indicated value.

$$\text{Time} = T, \text{Length} = gT^2, \text{Velocity} = gT, \text{Pressure} = \rho gT^2, \text{Mass} = \rho g^3T^6, \text{Power} = \rho g^5T^7.$$

Table 2.9 gives a selection of divisions of the day, into various numbers, along with selected units of length, velocity, pressure, mass, and power. The units are sorted, so the largest units appear first, so if the selected units fall below the line containing a pound, the resulting unit of mass is also less than a pound.

With a list like this, it is relatively easy to choose a day-division very near the desired unit, and something near the desired unit will come out. Pendlebury's grafit is derived by selecting a unit very near the BI foot, as powers of 12 would permit. Correspondingly, he tries to justify why we ought part with dozenal tradition and divide the day into two first.

The straight dozenal units give units a bit nearer two tons (2.88), and then something less than a gram (34.56). But it is interesting that the latter nestles among the various speed units. In short, the unit is slightly less than a centimetre, and closer to a bird of an inch.

It is certainly not unknown that really short units might be taught in schools. The mainstay of education was to use the c.g.s. system, the centimetre and gram appear at 31.31 on this list. But because we are using a very short time unit, the unit of force is not near a milligram, but much nearer a gram. It's more or less c.g.s. without the large numbers.

Although we no longer have any of the dozenal hour, minute or second, these are dozenal powers of the time unit. This means that the day divided into fecc can read off straight hours, minutes and seconds, at H:MM:SS:f = h:mm:ss:f. We are no longer needing to justify the division of the day and circle into halves first.

It's important to remember that the dozenal 100 is somewhat larger than the decimal one. This permits us to choose smaller units and still 'catch up' with the decimal unit at a larger power. The dozenal speed unit kine about  $1.021 \text{ km/h}$ , a bit less than  $0.7 \text{ mph}$ . But a dozenal 100 kines gives  $147 \text{ km/s}$  or  $91.4 \text{ mph}$ .

Speed limits given in kines would pretty much match the kilometre/hour unit, so one could pretty much translate say 50 km/h = 40 kines, and 100 km/h = 80 kines.

### 3.1.3 The c.o.f. Length Unit

The dozenal unit by gravity is given by  $9806.65/T^2$  millimetres, or 8.21056 mm. A metre is 121.794 corns. A BI foot is 37.122 of the same unit. However, there is some variability of the gravity, so a minor adjustment is permitted to make the nautical mile fit too. The unit is very near a barley corn, or third of an inch, and shall be called a **corn**.

A mean nautical mile DD, is 25/24 of the decimal one, gives 1930 metres. Using this ratio, we find the mean nautical mile, gives E4000 corns. This is  $17/18 \cdot 12^5$ . But we shall later see that this is not all too grave.  $15^2 = 201$ , dozenal.

We just see that a higher gravity seems to work well with the c.o.f. and nautical measures.

### 3.1.4 Weights

The unit of weight is the cube of a corn of water, which gives a gram as 1.8066 units. The unit is near a traditional unit of half a scruple, or an **obol**.

## 3.2 Length, Mass, Time

The table shows the units of length, mass and time, derived from dozenal powers of the c.o.f. units. Experience shows that using prefixes tends to get mangled: a *mill* can mean variously, a millimetre, a millilitre, a million, and a mill or thousandth of currency.

It should be noted that translators would quite easily handle words like feet and inches to pie and pulgada, or fuß and zoll. Mangling it for the sake of variance does not achieve much. On the other hand, if one needs to denote its dozenal measure, rather than BI, one can use DD as a code.

Table 3.2: C.O.F. Length, Mass, Time

$1 \cdot 10^0$	<b>corn</b>	<b>obol</b>	<b>fecc</b>
$1 \cdot 10^1$	hand		second
$1 \cdot 10^2$	yard		
$1 \cdot 10^3$	chain	2 pounds	minute
$1 \cdot 10^4$	furlong	2 stones	(cé)
$1 \cdot 10^5$	mile	2 cwt	hour
$1 \cdot 10^6$		2 tons	day

### 3.2.1 Velocity

The unit of velocity is the **kine**, represents both a hand per second, and a mile per hour. It's about a km/h, so the red-circle thing will work quite well here too, except for the base.

Normal velocities by motor-car are 50 kines in town roads, and 80 kines for country roads. A speed of 100 kines DD is 90 mph, BI, which is a good fast limit.

One sees speed limits down to 5 and 8 kines.

### 3.2.2 Pressure

The notional pressure in a spig-leo system is head of water: this is the same units one sees watches rated in, as in 'our watch is rated to 30 metres'.

A pressure of 10 corns or a hand, is very near one kilopascal, but it's accurate enough to translate weather pressures at that rate. A pressure in millibars or hectopascals, might be converted by converting 10. mb = 10; corns, and then add 40; to be total. For example, a pressure of 1013 mb, one writes 101 | 3. gives 85 | 4. (converting to dozenal), and then add 4 to get 890.

A pressure in PSI, when units of the dozenal are used, gives a pound = 600, an inch<sup>2</sup> = 9, comes out to 80 corns. A BI psi is closer to 72; corns, or just over 7 hands. A tyre pressure given as 30 psi, would be written first as 210., and converted to dozenal as 1560 corns.

### 3.3 Premm (temperature)

Premm is a word for *temperature*. Premm is derived from Greek permos by way of metathesis (swapping the r and vowel, as in breeze - bird). *Temperature* derives from a latin word for regulate or order by time (temp). A premmglass is a permometer.

In a standard water system, one tries to make the mechanical equivalent of heat, or the joule constant, to unity. Joule measured this constant at 778 feet per degree fahrenheit, ie 1 Btu = 778 ft.lbs. The modern accepted values for it are based on 860 gram °C = 1 watt-hour, where the watt is the joule, defined in terms of 'international units'. The value was modified without affecting the tables, to 4186.8 J/kg.K.

Other values exist: this is a fairly rubbery constant. The mean value corresponds to closer to 4190.02 J/kg K, but it's also defined at various temperatures (heat from 60 °F to 61 °F, or 19.5 °C to 20.5 °C).

The units might be set as follows. Since a degree of premm is the smallest unit on the glass, and not the usual intervals one is interested in, larger powers are given too.

Table 3.3: Setting the c.o.f. premm unit

1	furlong	0.7177 °F	0.3987 °C
10	furlong	8.61319 °F	4.7851 °C
100	furlong	103.358 °F	57.4215 °C

One can see from this that 100 F is very near 100 °F, but a fahrenheit premmglass might serve a new purpose here. Fahrenheit set his premmglass so that 0 represents an utmost cold as could be reproduced, and 100 as body temperature. Most of the normal weather-premmings are in the range from 0 to 100, without any ugly negative numbers.

One can eliminate the dotted isotherms shown on maps between 30 °F and 40 °F, for 32 °F, by setting it to 40 F. Remember, that 0.32 is very near 0;40, so this is not a great shift.

### 3.4 Weights And Measures

The Dozenal Weights and Measures derive directly from the corn, obol, and fecc. But unlike the metric system, we shall not slavishly follow the powers of the base. The great utility of having lots of divisors is that they can be used, and a good selection of units would mean that the resulting ratios will not be too onerous. A minimal selection of numbers will give a good range of divisions of the dozenal logarithms, without producing very large numbers in the ratios.

The actual choice of units might vary from place to place, but none the same, can still be drawn from a standard set of units.

Because these units are direct multiples of the dozenal c.o.f., they can be associated with a cof-number, such that in calculations, a pound might be 600, and an inch is 3, so a pound per square inch comes to  $600/3^2 = 80$ . A pressure of an atmosphere is by this scale 890, which gives 11;60 psi.

The attached system, is then something like the french systeme usuelle, but crafted so that people can necessarily avoid the raw nature of the c.o.f., but directly convert any sort of units directly to the c.o.f.

### 3.4.1 Length, Area

The units of length are as follows. The foot is the same as Pendlebury's grafit.

Table 3.4: Length Units

point (printers)	1/60 inch	0.06	0.342107	1/74.24364 inches
line	1/10 inch	0.3	2.052 mm	1/12.37394 inches
em (printer)	10 points	0.6	4.105 mm	1/6.1869 inches
<b>corn</b>		1	8.21 mm	0.32326 inches
inch	3 corns	3	24.6317 mm	0.96978 inches
hand	10 corns	10	98.527 mm	3.87912 inches
foot	10 inches	30	295.581 mm	11.63736 inches
yard	10 hands	100	1.1823 metre	46.54944 inches
perch	10 feet	300	3.54697 metre	11.63736 feet
chain	10 yards	$1 \cdot 10^3$	14.187 metre	0.70529 chains
furlong	10 chains	$1 \cdot 10^4$	170.25 metre	8.46353 chains
mile	10 furlongs	$1 \cdot 10^5$	2.04305 kms.	1.269530 miles

A kine = 1 corn/fecc, is also a hand per second, and a mile per hour.

Nautical measure is 0.E4 of land measure, or 15/16.

Cadastral measure is done in dozenal chains, an acre being a chain by a furlong. The unit is smaller than the imperial acre, as 1 acre = 1000 sq yards = 2415 m<sup>2</sup> or 0.6 BI acres. But we see that 1000 acres is a square mile. An acre is also 140 perches, as defined above.

### 3.4.2 Weight

The units of weight are set to follow the Jefferson proposal, which permits a pound of 14; ounces, but restores it to dozenal powers by dividing this by 9 drams.

For metric-familiar people, one might note that a hand is close to a decimetre, and so a cubic hand is close to a kilogram. This helps get a picture on things. The seer, an indian unit, appears at this point.

Pendlebury's Masz would be a 'talent', of 46 lb, or 1000 ledds. A ledd corresponds to a cubic inch of water. A ton is 28 talents or masz.

Table 3.5: Weight Units

mite		0;01	3.84393 mg	0.059320 gr
grain	10 mites	0;1	46.127 mg	0.711851 gr
carat	4 grains	0;4	184.508 mg	2.847403 gr
<b>obol</b>	10 grains	1	0.553 525 gm	8.542212 gr
scruple	2 obols	2	1.107 052 gm	17.084 423 gr
dram	3 scruples	6	3.321 155 gm	51.25271 gr
ounce	9 drams	46	29.890 40 gm	461.279 442 gr
pound	14 ounces	600	478.246 4 gm	1.054353 lb
seer	2 pounds	1000	956.492 gm	2.108706 lb
stone	10 pounds	$6 \cdot 10^3$	5.78395 kg	12.652 236 lb
cwt (100 lb)	10 stones	$6 \cdot 10^4$	68.867 kg	151.826 833 lb
ton	10 cwt	$6 \cdot 10^5$	826.409 kg	0.813358 tons
millier	1000 seers	$1 \cdot 10^6$	1.652 819 t	0.626716 ton
10 tons		$6 \cdot 10^6$	9.916 917 t	9.760296 tons
<hr/>				
bereh		0;16	0.069190 gm	1.067 776 gr
obol	8 bereh	1	0.553 525 gm	8.542 212 gr
shekel	60 bereh	9	4.981 722 gm	76.88 grains
ledd	3 shekels	23	14.9452 gm	0.527 1765 oz
minah	60 shekels	460	358.684 gm	12.652 236 oz
talent	60 mina	23000	25.825 kg	56.935 062 lb

# Appendix A

## Electricity with Six Base Units

While it might be charitable to describe the metric SI system as a train-wreck in motion, it is probably closer to the truth to describe it as a train-wreck with attendant residence. For indeed, that's what it is. It is little wonder that the people who wrangle cosmology use the c.g.s..

The usual discussions on the great variety of systems, is to deal with each system separately, and suppose compare the relative equations. So one discusses 'c.g.s.' theory and 'MKS' theory etc. However, it is possible to develop a common theory, by introducing additional constants which are normalised differently in different systems. It is not too difficult.

Quantities shown in quotes as 'A' are being defined in terms of the stated equation. Vectors are shown in **Bold**. If there are two vectors in the equation, they point the same direction, unless there is a negative sign.

### A.1 Electrostatics &c

This section gives a series of definitions of quantities, along with some commentary. The definitions are selected to be generally the same in all systems, except for a few minimal ones. For the largest part, additional constants are added to the theory, which are not reduced here. These constants reduce in different ways, which leads to different systems.

#### A.1.1 Charge, Field, Flux

Electricity and magnetism are fields like gravity, caused and received by non-connected objects. These are inverse square laws, but this can be explained by the notion of *flux*. The following equations show the inverse-square law for a mass  $m$  under gravity, a charge  $q$  of electricity, and a pole  $p$  of magnetism, separated from a large capital form, by a distance  $r$ .

$$\mathbf{F} = \frac{{}'G'Mm}{\gamma \mathbf{r}^2} \quad \mathbf{F} = -\frac{{}'Q'q}{\gamma \epsilon \mathbf{r}^2} \quad \mathbf{F} = -\frac{{}'P'p}{\gamma \mu \mathbf{r}^2}$$

Charges and poles repel each other, as can be shown by having one of the same nature. Masses attract. Also, mass is pre-existing, so a new constant 'G' is called for. For electricity and magnetism, this can be regarded as the definition of force.

$$\mathbf{g}' = \frac{M}{\gamma' \mathbf{r}^2} \quad \mathbf{D}' = \frac{Q}{\gamma \mathbf{r}^2} \quad \mathbf{B}' = \frac{P}{\gamma \mathbf{r}^2}$$

The flux model supposes that charge is a source of flux, and that once issued, it radiates outwards. The value  $\gamma$  is then a geometric constant, and the inverse square law comes from the surface of a sphere of radius  $r$  is proportional to the square of its radius. For other geometries, it is this particular equation that changes, for example, in four dimensions, it is proportional to the cube of radius.

One should note that this is exactly the same way that the foot-candle of light is derived from a source candle.

$$\mathbf{F} = m\mathbf{g} \qquad \mathbf{F} = q\mathbf{E} \qquad \mathbf{F} = p\mathbf{H}$$

Charge has a scalar quantity, while both force and field have a vector name. Note here we are using the smaller charge,  $q$  as the test-probe. The field is converted into force.

Charge and field are heraldic fields. Charge refers to the picture drawn on a shield, and the field is the background. Because the picture can stand by itself off the shield, charge is generally intrinsic, while the field is a source of context, is extrinsic.

$$G\mathbf{g}' = \mathbf{g} \qquad \mathbf{D} = \epsilon'\mathbf{E} \qquad \mathbf{B} = \mu'\mathbf{H}$$

The conversion of flux into field is for gravity essentially a direct scalar conversion. For electricity and magnetism, it is due to the nature of the local medium. Electric flux is permitted to change into field (permittivity), while magnetic flux is permeable through the medium to become field (permeability). These are scalar in vacuum, but some media, the permittivity and permeability may be matrices, changing the direction of the field, relative to the flux. These are active materials indeed.

It is possible from the last three sets of equations, to directly derive the inverse-square law.

Although it is possible to continue using the gravity analogy further, most of the later analogies is gravity borrowing electricity's clothes, rather than the other way around.

Field is the gradient of *potential*. Potential is a scalar term, which might be likened to height. It takes a certain amount of energy simply to be here, and moving to a place of lesser potential releases energy in the order of the product of charge and change in potential.

$$\mathbf{E} = \nabla\cdot V' \qquad \mathbf{H} = \nabla\cdot U'$$

Capacitance is the measure of energy required to store a charge against a potential.

$$C' = Q/V$$

### A.1.2 Dipoles and Moments

Because electricity and magnetism consists of signed charges, it is possible for else-wise neutral matter to produce a dipole moment in the presence of a field. In effect, charge is *displaced*<sup>1</sup> to counter an external field.

Moments are a vector product over a scalar, which is intrinsic when the sum of the scalar values are zero. The operator  $\xi$  is used here, representing a coordinate. The moment of a quantity is summed over the quantity, as  $\xi Q = \int_q \mathbf{x}dq$ .  $x$  represents the coordinate, the integral is meant to be zero when  $x$  is ignored, and a value independent of the origin when  $x$  is included.

As important is the densities of these scalar moments. The volume density is represented by the operator  $\varrho$ , which is a point-wise scalar. So  $\rho Q$  is the point-wise density of a charge distribution. In general use, one sees  $\rho$  standing by itself in his role. Densities can be applied to vector-objects as well, giving point-wise vector-kins which integrate over volume to give the total vector.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{p}' &= \xi Q & \mathbf{j}' &= \xi P \\ \mathbf{P}' &= \varrho \mathbf{p} & \mathbf{J}' &= \varrho \mathbf{j} \end{aligned}$$

A second pair of moments are defined in terms of the torque produced, relative to the surrounding flux, the relation between these are as  $\epsilon$  and  $\mu$ .  $\mathbf{T} = \xi\mathbf{F}$  is torque.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T} &= \mathbf{k}' \times \mathbf{D} & \mathbf{T} &= \mathbf{m}' \times \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{K}' &= \varrho \mathbf{k} & \mathbf{M}' &= \varrho \mathbf{m} \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Displacement originally stood for charge displaced to counter an incoming flux, in a rationalised system, the two are equal.

The **Susceptibility** of a material is its capacity to produce electrification against an incoming field. The relation between  $\chi$  and  $\epsilon$  is a derived one, not a definition. The following definition might be regarded as a consistent form in all systems.

$$\mathbf{K} = \chi_e \mathbf{E} \qquad \mathbf{M} = \chi_m \mathbf{H}$$

### A.1.3 The Linkage of Faraday and Ampere

An electromagnet is a loop of wires, which behaves in the

### A.1.4 The Electromagnetic Velocity Constant

The electromagnetic velocity constant arises where electric charge and current are defined separately, but comes to the same value in every system.

## A.2 Systems, Prefix and Suffix Rules

### A.2.1 Maxwell's Equations

### A.3 The Units of the c.g.s., MKS and fpsc

The following tables convert the various c.g.s. and MKS units into the fpsc. The rows are possible because  $\beta$ ,  $\eta$ ,  $\kappa$ , and the second are set to unity in the fpsc. In all systems two, and usually three, are shared.

Table A.1: Pe electrical units

<b>Charge, flux; potentials, currents</b>			
system	Q=I.t	I=Q/t	fpsec
c.g.s	(co-franklin)	Biot	945.516609553
m.k.s.	Amp.metre		310.21007366
h.l.u	(co-lorentz)	Heaviside	266.725311119
m.k.s	Coulomb	Ampere	94.5516609553
c.g.s	(co-maxwell)	Gilbert	75.2418211011
m.k.s	(co-weber)	(co-volt)	7.5241821101
m.k.s	(co-coulomb)	(co-ampere)	3.1539039239
fpsec	verber	oerstedt	1
fpsec	byot	galvin	1
m.k.s	weber	volt	0.250979699766
m.k.s.		Wb / m	0.076498313550969
{c.g.s.}	{nen-franklin}	{nen-biot}	$5.4608319378 \cdot 10^{-3}$
{h.l.u.}	{nen-lorentz}	{nen-heaviside}	$1.540472248421 \cdot 10^{-3}$
{c.g.s.}	{nen-maxwell}	{nen-gilbert}	$4.345591981516 \cdot 10^{-4}$
c.g.s.	franklin	(co-biot)	$3.153903923945 \cdot 10^{-8}$
h.l.u	lorentz	(co-heaviside)	$8.986998707000 \cdot 10^{-9}$
c.g.s	maxwell	(co-gilbert)	$2.509796997663 \cdot 10^{-9}$
	dirac chg		$1.03796859155 \cdot 10^{-15}$
	electron		$1.51488455378 \cdot 10^{-17}$
<b>Flux densities; Field strengths</b>			
system	Q/L <sup>2</sup>	I/L	fpsec
c.g.s	(co-gauss)		69901.39283603
c.g.s		oersted	2293.36174522
m.k.s.		ampere/m	28.8192336433
fpsec	byot/ft <sup>2</sup>	galvin/ft	1
m.k.s	Coulomb/m <sup>2</sup>		8.784068088375
m.k.s		volt/m	$7.649831355096 \cdot 10^{-2}$
m.k.s.	tesla		$2.331659485444 \cdot 10^{-2}$
c.g.s	gauss		$2.331659485444 \cdot 10^{-6}$
c.g.s		(co-oersted)	$7.649831355096 \cdot 10^{-8}$
<i>multiply</i>	gauss	Oersted	<i>by his to get</i>
(c.g.s)	Fr/cm <sup>2</sup>	Bi/cm	12.5663706144
(h.l.u)	Lo/cm <sup>2</sup>	He/cm	3.54490770181

Table A.2: Pe electrical units

<b>Capacitances, Induction; Resistance, Conductance,</b>			
system	T=U.t	U=T/t	fpsc
c.g.s	(co-mjar)		$3.76730313462 \cdot 10^{11}$
h.l.u.	(co-mper)		$2.99792458 \cdot 10^{10}$
c.g.s	(co-mmic)		$2.3856725796 \cdot 10^9$
m.k.s.	farad	siemens	376.730313462
c.g.s		( $\gamma$ )	12.5663706144
m.k.s.	(co-henry)	(co-ohm)	2.3856725796
fpsc	(second)	edison	1
fpsc		maxwel	1
m.k.s.	(co-farad)	(co-siemens)	0.41916900439
m.k.s.	henry	ohm	$2.6541829438 \cdot 10^{-3}$
$\epsilon, \mu$	metre		$3.33564095198 \cdot 10^{-9}$
$\epsilon, \mu$	foot		$1.01669938914 \cdot 10^{-9}$
c.g.s	mjar		$4.19169004393 \cdot 10^{-10}$
c.g.s	mper (cm)		$3.33564095198 \cdot 10^{-11}$
c.g.s.	mmic		$2.65441872944 \cdot 10^{-12}$

Susceptibility is measured in  $\gamma$  for non-rationalised systems.  
 To find  $\epsilon$  or  $\mu$ , divide pe lengb unit by pe T to be measured in.  
 For example: 1 metre / 1 henry gives  $1.2566370 \cdot 10^{-6}$  H/m